

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/551,262	09/28/2005	Richard Markoll	26993U	3360
20529 THE NATH I	20529 7590 12/10/2008 THE NATH LAW GROUP		EXAMINER	
112 South West Street			CHEN, VICTORIA W	
Alexandria, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3739	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/10/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/551.262 MARKOLL, RICHARD Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit VICTORIA W. CHEN 3739 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) VICTORIA W. CHEN. (3) (2) Stanley Protigal. (4)____. Date of Interview: 04 December 2008. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: ____ Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 7. Identification of prior art discussed: Ostrow, Becker, Aoki, Waltonen. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments; Applicant and Examiner discussed possible proposed amendments regarding introduction of positive bone growth claim language, however no agreement was made, pending a discussion with a primary examiner and submission of formal amendments . (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.